







'Child First' assessment

by Stephen Case

Loughborough University, Head of Criminology, Sociology and Social Policy, Professor of Criminology

Why assess 'Risk'?

- Globalisation, 'risk society'
- Neo-liberalism: responsibilisation, neo-correctionalism
- Modernisation: managerialism, evidence-based policy & practice
- Misspent Youth risk-based early intervention
- Political & academic arguments welfare & justice not working

Risk Factor Prevention Paradigm

The basic idea of risk-focused prevention is very simple: Identify the key risk factors for offending and implement prevention methods designed to counteract them. There is often a related attempt to identify key protective factors against offending and to implement prevention methods designed to enhance them' (Farrington 2007: 606).



Risk Factor Prevention Paradigm

'A key advantage of the **risk factor prevention paradigm** is that it **links explanation and prevention**, fundamental and applied research, and scholars and practitioners. Importantly, the paradigm is easy to understand and to communicate, and it is **readily accepted by policy makers, practitioners, and the general public**. Both risk factors and interventions are **based on empirical research rather than theories**. The paradigm avoids difficult theoretical questions about which risk factors have causal effects'

(Farrington, 2007: 7).

Risk-based assessment-intervention

- Identify the 'risk factors' associated with offending behaviour to inform 'effective' intervention programmes
- Psychosocial domains of risk
- Risk of reoffending is scored and categorised (risk assessment)
- Intervention is 'scaled' to risk category / level ('what works')

Problems with risk assessment

- Deficit-based identifies weaknesses to correct
- Negative or neutral focus
- Over-simplified
- Criminalising, 'offender first'
- Adult-centric Childrens' voices? Children's rights?
- Deprofessionalising

'Child First'

- Strategic objective and guiding principle for youth justice
- Redressing concerns about past youth justice:
- Did not sufficiently prioritise children's rights;
- Responsibilised children beyond their capacities;
- Relied on a deficit model of managing offending risk;
- Did not engage constructively with children and families;
- Ignores criminogenic effects of system involvement

Child First: Youth Justice Board

1. See children as children

Prioritise the **best interests** of children, recognising their particular **needs, capacities, rights & potential**. All work is **child-focused**, developmentally informed, acknowledges structural barriers & meets responsibilities towards children.

2. Develop pro-social identity for positive child outcomes

Promote children's individual **strengths & capacities** to develop their pro-social identity for sustainable **desistance**, leading to safer communities and fewer victims. All work is **constructive & future-focused**, built on **supportive relationships** that empower children to fulfil their potential & make positive contributions to society.

Child First: Youth Justice Board

3. Collaboration with children

Encourage active participation, engagement & wider social inclusion. All work is a meaningful collaboration with children & their carers.

4. Promote diversion

Promote a childhood removed from the justice system, using preemptive **prevention**, **diversion & minimal intervention**. All work minimises criminogenic stigma from contact with the system.

The evolution of Child First: PYJ as anti-risk

'Children First,
Offenders Second'
(Haines & Drakeford 1998)

Challenged the 'new youth justice' of the CDA 1998 Criminalisation
through labelling
(ignoring 'child'
status)

Breaching children's rights under the UNCRC

Prioritising
offence- &
offender-based
interventions

Responsibilising the child (& parents)

Anti-child strategies punitive & riskbased

Threats to Child First assessment

- Socio-economic insecurity: Austerity, BREXIT
- Government instability & uncertainty
- COVID contact, delayed justice, isolation
- BAME disproportionality
- Media & political populism (e.g. knife crime)
- Adverse Childhood Experiences agenda



Positive youth justice

Children First, Offenders Second

Kevin Haines and Stephen Case

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QYWPyiZIpV8

http://www.profstevecase.com/blog/4592945329/Children-first-justice-An-agenda-for-change/11266927

Haines and Case (2015) Positive Youth Justice: *Children First, Offenders Second*. Policy Press

Byrne, B. and Case, S.P. (2016) Towards a positive youth justice. *Safer Communities*, 15 (2): 69-81

Facebook Positive Youth Justice group:

https://www.facebook.com/groups/853804234630683/





ANY QUESTIONS? ©

© Stephen Case, Loughborough University, Professor of Criminology









THANKYOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Stephen Case, Loughborough University, Criminology, Sociology and Social Policy, Professor of Criminology